रजिस्टर्ड डाक ए.डी. द्वारा

: आयुक्त (अपील-l) का कार्यालय केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क : सैन्टल एक्साइज भवन, सातवीं मंजिल, पौलिटैक्नीक के पास, आंबावाडी, अहमदाबाद— 380015.

 क	फाइल संख्या : File No : V2(CHA)59 to 69/ST-4/STC-III/2015/Appeal-I V2(CHA)76 to 82/ST-4/STC-III/2015/Appeal-I (0) अपील आदेश संख्या : Order-In-Appeal No.: AHM-EXCUS-003-APP-044 to 061-16-17 दिनाँक Date 27.07.2016 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue 29.7-2016
	V2(CHA)76 to 82/ST-4/STC-III/2015/Appeal-I
ख	अपील आदेश संख्या : Order-In-Appeal No.: <u>AHM-EXCUS-003-APP-044 to 061-16-17</u>
	दिनाँक Date <u>27.07.2016</u> जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue <u>29.7.201</u> 6

श्री अभय कुमार श्रीवास्तव , आयुक्त (अपील-l) केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अहमदाबाद द्वारा पारित

Passed by <u>Shri Abhai Kumar Srivastav</u> Commissioner (Appeals-I) Central Excise Ahmedabad

ग _____ आयुक्त केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, अहमदाबाद-III आयुक्तालय द्वारा जारी मूल आदेश सं _____ से सृजित

Arising out of Order-in-Original No <u>AS PER ORDER</u> dated : <u>AS PER ORDER</u> Issued by: Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise, Din: Kadi, A'bad-III.

ध अपीलकर्ता / <u>प्रतिवादी</u> का नाम एवं पता Name & Address of The Appellants/<u>Respondents</u>

M/s. Gujarat Ambuja Exports Ltd., इस अपील आदेश से असंतुष्ट कोई भी व्यक्ति उचित प्राधिकारी को अपील निम्नलिखित प्रकार से कर सकता है:—

Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate authority in the following way:-

सीमा शुल्क, उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को अपील:--Appeal to Customs Central Excise And Service Tax Appellate Tribunal :-

वित्तीय अधिनियम,1994 की धारा 86 के अंतर्गत अपील को निम्न के पास की जा सकती:— Under Section 86 of the Finance Act 1994 an appeal lies to :-

पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठ सीमा शुल्क, उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण ओ.20, न्यू मैन्टल हास्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेधाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद—380016

The West Regional Bench of Customs, Excise, Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, Meghani Nagar, New Mental Hospital Compound, Ahmedabad – 380 016.

- (ii) अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को वित्तीय अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 (1) के अंतर्गत अपील सेवाकर नियमावली, 1994 के नियम 9(1)के अंतर्गत निर्धारित फार्म एस.टी— 5 में चार प्रतियों में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके साथ जिस आदेश के विरुद्ध अपील की गई हो उसकी प्रतियों भेजी जानी चाहिए (उनमें से एक प्रमाणित प्रति होगी) और साथ में जिस स्थान में न्यायाधिकरण का न्यायपीठ स्थित है, वहाँ के नामित सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र बैंक के न्यायपीठ के सहायक रिजस्ट्रार के नाम से रेखांकित बैंक झापट के रूप में जहाँ सेवाकर की मांग, ब्याज की मांग ओर लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 5 लाख या उससे कम है वहां रूपए 1000/— फीस भेजनी होगी। जहाँ सेवाकर की मांग, ब्याज की मांग और लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 5 लाख वक हो तो रूपए 5000/— फीस भेजनी होगी। जहाँ सेवाकर की मांग, ब्याज की मांग ओर लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 5 लाख वक हो तो रूपए 5000/— फीस भेजनी होगी। जहाँ सेवाकर की मांग, ब्याज की मांग ओर लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 50 लाख या उससे ज्यादा है वहां रूपए 10000/— फीस भेजनी होगी।
- (ii) The appeal under sub section (1) of Section 86 of the Finance Act 1994 to the Appellate Tribunal Shall be filed in quadruplicate in Form S.T.5 as prescribed under Rule 9(1) of the Service Tax Rules 1994 and Shall be accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against (one of which shall be certified copy) and should be accompanied by a fees of Rs. 1000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied of Rs. 5 Lakhs or less, Rs.5000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is is more than five lakhs but not exceeding Rs. Fifty Lakhs, Rs.10,000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than fifty Lakhs rupees, in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of the Assistant Registrar of the bench of nominated Public Sector Bank of the place where the bench of Tribunal is situated.



- (iii) वित्तीय अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 की उप-धारा (2ए) के अंतर्गत अपील सेवाकर नियमावली, 1994 के नियम 9 (2ए) के अंतर्गत निर्धारित फार्म एस.टी. 7 में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके साथ आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क / आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क (अपील) के आदेश की प्रतियाँ (उसमें से प्रमाणित प्रति होगी) और आयुक्त / सहायक आयुक्त अथवा उप आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को आवेदन करने के निर्देश देते हुए सीमा एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क बोर्ड / आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क द्वारा पारित आदेश की प्रति भेजनी होगी।
- (iii) The appeal under sub section and (2A) of the section 86 the Finance Act 1994, shall be filed in For ST.7 as prescribed under Rule 9 & (2A) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994 and shall be accompanied by a copy of order of Commissioner Central Excise or Commissioner, Central Excise (Appeals) (one of which shall be a certified copy) and copy of the order passed by the Central Board of Excise & Customs / Commissioner or Dy. Commissioner of Central Excise to apply to the Appellate Tribunal.
- 2. यथासंशोधित न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम. 1975 की शर्तो पर अनुसूची-1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार मूल आदेश एवं स्थगन प्राधिकारी के आदेश की प्रति पर रू 6.50/- पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।
- 2. One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjuration authority shall bear a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under Schedule-I in terms of the Court Fee Act, 1975, as amended.
- 3. सीमा शुल्क, उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्यविधि) नियमावली, 1982 में चर्चित एवं अन्य संबंधित मामलों को सम्मिलित करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है।
- 3. Attention is also invited to the rules covering these and other related matters contained in the Customs, Excise and Service Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.
- 4. सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय प्राधिकरण (सीस्तेत) के प्रति अपीलों के मामलों में केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, १९४४ की धारा ३५फ के अंतर्गत वितीय(संख्या-२) अधिनियम २०१४(२०१४ की संख्या २५) दिनाक: ०६.०८.२०१४ जो की वितीय अधिनियम, १९९४ की धारा ८३ के अंतर्गत सेवाकर को भी लागू की गई है, द्वारा निश्वित की गई पूर्व-राशि जमा करना अनिवार्य है, बशर्ते कि इस धारा के अंतर्गत जमा की जाने वाली अपेक्षित देय राशि दस करोड़ रूपए से अधिक न हो केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर के अंतर्गत " माँग किए गए शुल्क " में निम्न शामिल है
 - (i) धारा 11 डी के अंतर्गत निर्धारित रकम
 - (ii) सेनवैट जमा की ली गई गलत राशि
 - (iii) सेनवैट जमा नियमावली के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत देय रकम
- → आगे बशर्ते यह कि इस धारा के प्रावधान वितीय (सं. 2) अधिनियम. 2014 के आरम्भ से पूर्व किसी अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष विचाराधीन स्थगन अर्ज़ी एवं अपील को लागू नहीं होगे।
 - 4. For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, it is mandatory to pre-deposit an amount specified under the Finance (No. 2) Act, 2014 (No. 25 of 2014) dated 06.08.2014, under section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944 which is also made applicable to Service Tax under section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994 provided the amount of pre-deposit payable would be subject to ceiling of Rs. Ten Crores,

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

→ Provided further that the provisions of this Section shall not apply to the stay application and appeals pending before any appellate authority prior to the commencement of the Finance (No.2) Act, 2014.

(4)(i) इस संदर्भ में, इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

(4)(i) In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."

अहमदाबाट

ORDER IN APPEAL

Deputy Commissioner, Central Excise, Kadi Division, Ahmedabad-III has filed 18 appeals against original orders granting refunds to M/s. Gujarat Ambuja Exports Ltd [GAEL]. The refunds were sanctioned by the Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise, Kadi Division, Ahmedabad-III, details of which are as under:

Sr.	OIO No. & date		Period involv	od	Amount of	Daviani andan na	0.	Appeal Nos.
No.	010 110. & date		1 CHOU MIVORY	cu	refund	Review order no. & date, passed by		Appear Nos.
1,0.]		granted	Commissioner,	Оy	
1			İ		(Rs.)	Central Exc	ico	
			1		(103.)	Ahmedabad-III	150,	
	144/Ref/14-15	&	10.03.2014	to	31,357/-	62/2015-16	&	59/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
-	08.05.2015	•	26.03.2014		01,5011	23.09.2015	u	37/01-4/010-111/13-10
2	145/Ref/14-15	&	12.03.2014		4716/-	63/2015-16	&	60/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
	15.05.2015					23.09.2015		
3	146/Ref/14-15	&	26.04.2014		2300/-	64/2015-16	&	61/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
	15.05.2015					23.09.2015		
4	147/Ref/14-15	&	31.03.2014	to	30962/-	65/2015-16	&	62/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
	15.05.2015		14.04.2014			23.09.2015		
5	148/Ref/14-15	&	04.04.2014	to	23130/-	66/2015-16	&	63/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
	15.05.2015		28.04.2014			23.09.2015		
6	150/Ref/14-15	&	05.03.2014	to	19043/-	67/2015-16	&	64/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
	02.06.2015		07.03.2014			23.09.2015		
7	151/Ref/14-15	&	16.04.2014	to	41831/-	68/2015-16	&	65/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
	22.06.2015		20.05.2014			23.09.2015		
8	152/Ref/14-15	&	09.05.2014		3509/-	69/2015-16	&	66/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
	22.06.2015				,	23.09.2015		•
9	155/Ref/14-15	&	19.04.2015	to	100390/-	70/2015-16	&	67/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
	03.07.2015		15.05.2015			23.09.2015		
10	157/Ref/14-15		19.05.2014	to	46687/-	71/2015-16	&	68/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
-	&14.07.2015		16.06.2014			16.10.2015		
11	162/Ref/14-15 &		17.05.2014	to	49018/-	74/2015-16	&	69/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
	23.07.2015		10.07.2014			16.10.2015		
12	168/Ref/14-15 &	1	20.06.2014	to	20498/-	79/2015-16	&	76/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
	03.09.2015		19.07.2014			24.11.2015		
13	170/Ref/14-15 &	Ī	18.07.2014	1	3046/-	80/2015-16	&	77/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
İ	21.09.2015	-				17.12.2015		•
14	172/Ref/14-15 &		17.07.2014	to	12211/-	81/2015-16	&	78/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
	05.10.2015		12.08.2014		l	17.12.2015		
15	176/Ref/14-15 &		26.09.2014	to	5764/-		&	79/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
	19.10.2015	l	20.10.2014		ļ	22.1.2016		
16	177/Ref/14-15 &		20.08.2014	to	3125/-		&	80/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
	19.10.2015		15.09.2014			22.1.2016		
17	178/Ref/14-15 &		15.09.2014	to	18757/-		&	81/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
	19.10.2015		18.09.2014			22.01.2016	[,
18	179/Ref/14-15 &	\Box	04.09.2014	to	58416/-		&	82/ST-4/STC-III/15-16
	30.10.2015		22.09.2014			22.01.2016		

These eighteen departmental appeals are being dealt with together as all of these relate to availability of refund under Not. No. 41/2012-ST dated 29.6.2012, in respect of specified services.

- 2. Briefly stated, M/s. GAEL filed refund claims under notification No. 41/2012-ST dated 29.6.2012, seeking refund of service tax paid on the taxable services, which were received and used for export of goods manufactured by them. The said notification grants rebate of service tax paid on specified services, received and used by exporter of goods, by way of refunding the service tax so paid, subject to certain conditions. The taxable services involved are: [a] Custom House Agent services; [b] Cargo Handling services; [c] Port services; [d] Inspection & Testing services; [e] C&F service; and [f] THC service.
- 3. The Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise, Kadi Division, Ahmedabad-III Commissionerate, vide the aforementioned 18 OIOs, partly sanctioned the refund claims [mentioned against the OIO, supra] holding, inter alia, that these services were received between the 'place of

removal'; that the difference between rebate under the procedure specified in paragraph 2 and paragraph 3 is not less than twenty per cent of the rebate available under the procedure specified in paragraph 2, of the notification ibid.

- Commissioner, Central Excise, Ahmedabad-III, feeling aggrieved, reviewed the 4. aforementioned OIOs and directed the Deputy Commissioner, Central Excise,, Kadi Division, Ahmedabad-III, to file these appeals against the eighteen OIOs, supra, challenging the legality of the refunds primarily on the ground that GAEL being a manufacturer-exporter, the 'place of removal' was the "port of export" for them; and that since these services were rendered upto the 'place of removal', refund ought not to have been allowed in view of Sr. No. 1(a) of Not. No. 41/2012-ST dated 29.6.2012, which states that the taxable services should have been used beyond the 'place of removal', in order to qualify for rebate of service tax paid.
- Personal hearing was held on 14.7.2016. Shri Mahendra Gohil, the authorized signatory 5. appeared for the hearing. He drew attention towards notification No. 1/2016-ST dated 3.2.2016 and further submitted letter dated 14.7.2016 informing that the aforementioned notification was given retrospective effect vide Finance Act, 2016 and that Deputy Commissioner, Kadi, had sanctioned refunds in the same matter. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case on record, the submissions made in the appeal memorandum and during the course of hearing held on 14.7.2016.
- The relevant excerpts of the Notification No. 41/2012-ST are as follows: 6.

"Provided that -

the rebate shall be granted by way of refund of service tax paid on the specified services.

Explanation. - For the purposes of this notification,-

(A) "specified services" means

in the case of excisable goods, taxable services that have been used beyond the place of (i) removal, for the export of said goods;

in the case of goods other than (i) above, taxable services used for the export of said goods;

but shall not include any service mentioned in sub-clauses (A), (B), (BA) and (C) of clause (l) of rule (2) of the CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004;

- (B) "place of removal" shall have the meaning assigned to it in section 4 of the Central Excise Act, 1944 (1 of 1944); '
- Vide notification No. 21/2014-CE(NT) dated 11.7.2014, the definition of 'place of removal' was inserted in Rule 2 of the CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004. The relevant excerpts are as follows:
 - 2. In the CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004 (herein after referred to as the said rules), in rule 2, after clause (q), the following clause shall be inserted, namely -

'(qa) "place of removal" means-

a factory or any other place or premises of production or manufacture of the excisable (i) goods;

a warehouse or any other place or premises wherein the excisable goods have been (ii)

permitted to be deposited without payment of duty; a depot, premises of a consignment agent or any other place or premises from where the excisable goods are to be sold after their clearance from the factory, from where such goods are removed;'



- 8. CBEC, vide its Circular No. 988/2/2014-Cx dated 20.10.2014, clarified the phrase 'place of removal'. The relevant extracts are enumerated below:
 - (5) It may be noted that there are very well laid rules regarding the time when property in goods is transferred from the buyer to the seller in the Sale of Goods Act, 1930 which has been referred at paragraph 17 of the Associated Strips Case (supra) reproduced below for ease of reference -
 - "17. Now we are to consider the facts of the present case as to find out when did the transfer of possession of the goods to the buyer occur or when did the property in the goods pass from the seller to the buyer. Is it at the factory gate as claimed by the appellant or is it at the place of the buyer as alleged by the Revenue? In this connection it is necessary to refer to certain provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930. Section 19 of the Sale of Goods Act provides that where there is a contract for the sale of specific or ascertained goods the property in them is transferred to the buyer at such time as the parties to the contract intend it to be transferred. Intention of the parties is to be ascertained with reference to the terms of the contract, the conduct of the parties and the circumstances of the case. Unless a different intention appears; the rules contained in Sections 20 to 24 are provisions for ascertaining the intention of the parties as to the time at which the property in the goods is to pass to the buyer. Section 23 provides that where there is a contract for the sale of unascertained or future goods by description and goods of that description and in a deliverable state are unconditionally appropriated to the contract, either by the seller with the assent of the buyer or by the buyer with the assent of the seller, the property in the goods thereupon passes to the buyer. Such assent may be expressed or implied and may be given either before or after the appropriation is made. Sub-section (2) of Section 23 further provides that where, in pursuance of the contract, the seller delivers the goods to the buyer or to a carrier or other bailee (whether named by the buyer or not) for the purposes of transmission to the buyer, and does not reserve the right of disposal, he is deemed to have unconditionally appropriated the goods to the contract.'
 - (6) It is reiterated that the place of removal needs to be ascertained in term of provisions of Central Excise Act, 1944 read with provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930. Payment of transport, inclusion of transport charges in value, payment of insurance or who bears the risk are not the relevant considerations to ascertain the place of removal. The place where sale has taken place or when the property in goods passes from the seller to the buyer is the relevant consideration to determine the place of removal.
- 9. Subsequently, CBEC vide its Circular No. 999/6/2015-Cx dated 28.2.2015, further clarified that 'place of removal' in case of a manufacturer-exporter would be the Port/ICD/CFS. The relevant extracts are reproduced below:
 - 6. In the case of clearance of goods for export by manufacturer exporter, shipping bill is filed by the manufacturer exporter and goods are handed over to the shipping line. After Let Export Order is issued, it is the responsibility of the shipping line to ship the goods to the foreign buyer with the exporter having no control over the goods. In such a situation, transfer of property can be said to have taken place at the port where the shipping bill is filed by the manufacturer exporter and place of removal would be this Port/ICD/CFS. Needless to say, eligibility to CENVAT Credit shall be determined accordingly.
- 10. A combined reading of the Notification No. 41/2012-ST dated 29.6.2012, along with the clarifications issued by the Board on the term 'place of removal' and the insertion of its definition into the CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004, clearly leads to a conclusion that the rebate under notification *ibid*, is to be granted by way of refund of service tax paid on the 'specified services', which are received by an exporter of goods and used for export of goods. The 'specified services' in the case of excisable goods are those taxable services that have been used beyond the 'place of removal', for the export of the said goods and which are not mentioned in sub-clauses (A), (B), (BA) and (C) of clause (I) of rule (2) of the CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004. Of course, these refunds are subject to other conditions mentioned in this notification.
- 11. Although in the aforementioned refund orders, the refund sanction is authority, i.e. Assistant Commissioner has clearly held that the impugned services, the refund of which have been claimed,

were rendered beyond the 'place of removal'; yet the review order on the other hand going by the two clarifications issued by the Board on 'place of removal' [mentioned in paras 8 and 9 above] has contended that the services were not 'specified services' as they were <u>not</u> rendered beyond the place of removal, and therefore the refunds sanctioned in these 18 cases were erroneous.

12. Subsequently, vide Section 160 of the Finance Act, 2016, read with the tenth schedule, clauses (A) and (B) of Explanation contained in notification No. 41/2012-ST dated 29.6.2012, were retrospectively amended for the period 01.07.2012 to 02.02.2016. Section 160 *ibid* is reproduced below:

160. (1) The notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue) number G.S.R. 519(E), dated the 29th June, 2012 issued under section 93A of the Finance Act, 1994 granting rebate of service tax paid on the taxable services which are received by an exporter of goods and used for export of goods, shall stand amended and shall be deemed to have been amended retrospectively, in the manner specified in column (2) of the Tenth Schedule, on and from and up to the corresponding dates specified in column (3) of the Schedule, and accordingly, any action taken or anything done or purported to have taken or done under the said notification as so amended, shall be deemed to be, and always to have been, for all purposes, as validly and effectively taken or done as if the said notification as amended by this sub-section had been in force at all material times. 2) Rebate of all such service tax shall be granted which has been denied, but which would not have been so denied had the amendment made by sub-section (1) been in force at all material times.

(3) Notwithstanding anything contained in the Finance Act, 1994, an application for the claim of rebate of service tax under sub-section (2) shall be made within the period of one month from the date of commencement of the Finance Act, 2016.

THE TENTH SCHEDULE

_	(See section 160)			
Notification No.	Amendment	Period of effect of amendment		
(1)	(2)	(3)		
G.S.R. 519(E), dated the 29th June 2012	In the said notification, in the Explanation,-	1st day of July, 2012 to 2nd day of February, 2016		
[No.41/2012- Service Tax, dated the 29 th June, 2012]	(a) in clause (A), for sub-clause (i), the following sub-clause shall be substituted and shall be deemed to have been substituted, namely:— "(i) in the case of excisable goods, taxable services that have been used beyond factory or any other place or premises of production or manufacture of the said goods, for their export;";	(both days inclusive)		
	(b) clause (B) shall be omitted.			



- 13. The effect of the aforementioned retrospective amendment brought into vide Finance Act, 2016 in notification No. 41/2012-ST dated 29.6.2012 is that the amended portion of the notification under consideration would appear as follows:
 - (A) "specified services" means -
 - (i) in the case of excisable goods, taxable services that have been used beyond factory or any other place or premises of production of manufacture of the said goods, for their exports;"
 - (ii) in the case of goods other than (i) above, taxable services used for the export of said goods; but shall not include any service mentioned in sub-clauses (A), (B), (BA) and (C) of clause (l) of rule (2) of the CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004;
 - (B) ----stands omitted.

- 14. The impact of the aforementioned retrospective amendment is that 'specified services' would now mean taxable services that have been used beyond the factory gate or any other premises or place of production, for the period of retrospective amendment, i.e. from 01.07.2012 to 02.02.2016. The disputes based on the contention that every service upto the port [which in the case of manufacturer-exporter was the 'place of removal'] would not be a 'specified services' and therefore would not be eligible for refund under Not. No. 41/2015-ST dated 29.6.2012, stands resolved. Now, the effect of the aforementioned retrospective amendment is that any taxable service used beyond the factory gate or place or premises of production of manufacturing, etc. would be 'specified services' as per notification supra, and would thus be eligible for refund, provided other conditions of the notification are met.
- 15. With this change in the legal situation brought into effect by the retrospective amendment, the grounds mentioned in the departmental appeal that the services under consideration were rendered upto the place of removal, port being the place of removal become extraneous. There is no doubt that these services were rendered beyond the factory or any other place or premises of production of manufacture of the said goods, and therefore the departmental appeals fail.
- 16. In view of the above findings, I reject the departmental appeals mentioned in the table at paragraph 1 of this order in appeal. The eighteen departmental appeals stand disposed of accordingly.

Date: 27.7.2016

(Abhai Kumar Srivastav) Commissioner(Appeal-I) Central Excise Ahmedabad

Attested

Superintendent (Appeal-I) Central Excise,

Ahmedabad.

BY R.P.A.D.

M/s Gujarat Ambuja Exports Ltd., Kadi Thor Road, Kadi- 382 715, Mehsana.

Copy to:-

- 1. The Chief Commissioner of Central Excise, Ahmedabad.
- 2. The Commissioner of Central Excise, Ahmedabad-III
- 3. The Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise, Kadi Division.
- 4. Guard file.
- 5. P.A.



.